SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA PIMA COUNTY JUVENILE COURT PRELIMINARY PROTECTIVE HEARING ORDER

Case Name: Family JC#

Hrg. date: April 1, 2011 Hrg. Scheduled Time: 10:45 a.m. Judicial Officer: Suzanna S. Cuneo

1. COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL:

Based on the financial statements, the Court appoints counsel and assesses costs as follows:

Party	Attorney	Appointed (Y/N)	Assessment	Monthly payment
Mother				
Father	David Waterman			
Father				
Child/Children	Bob Gary			
Other				
Request for Reapport Court informed of hCounsel have me to A.R.S. § 8-843	intment. The parent(s) is is/her/their residence at a et with their clients prior	a updated Affidavit of Final stars advised of the need to all times. to the hearing and have accept informed of and under	keep his/ her	attorney and the their rights pursuan
court hearings and s		sen informed of and under	stand then righ	it to attend an or the
2. SERVICE:				
Mother	Father Father _ Tribe waives 10-day n	Child(ren)'s attorney _ notice of hearing.	Other:	
Tribe The Court has	Tribe waives 10-day not so inquired whether any page 2.		that any child a	at issue is subject to
Mother Tribe The Court has ICWA and the respo	Tribe waives 10-day not sometimed whether any particles was:A	notice of hearing. arty has reason to believe to pplicableNot Applicable determined, IT IS ORDER	that any child a	nt issue is subject toNot Determined
Mother Tribe The Court has ICWA and the response of the Indian verification of the characteristic affirmed	Tribe waives 10-day not some was:Apoints was:Apoints was:Apoints was:Apoints was and a status of any child is undailed's Indian status prior to the status of the status prior was a status of the status prior was a stat	notice of hearing. arty has reason to believe to pplicableNot Applicable determined, IT IS ORDER	that any child a pplicable RED that the Pe e Cuneo is	nt issue is subject toNot Determined
MotherTribe The Court has ICWA and the response If the Indian verification of the character affirmedaffirmedvacated	Tribe waives 10-day not some was:Aponse was:	arty has reason to believe populated in the population of the population of the next hearing. at 8:30 a.m. before Judge	that any child a pplicableRED that the Pe	at issue is subject toNot Determined etitioner obtain

Published Initial Hearing set for (date)_____at ___a.m./p.m. as to

mother father John Doe other:

Page 2 Date: April 1, 2011 Case No.

3.	CL	OS	ED	HEA	RING	3

The Court advises the parties	of the right to	request that all	dependency,	guardianship and	d termination
hearings or trials be closed to	the public.				

	earing is requested yes no
	ourt finds good cause for all or part of the proceedings to be closed. After consideration, the finds that an open hearing:
	Is not in the minor(s) best interest Would endanger the child's physical or emotional well-being Would endanger the safety of any other person Detrimentally affects the privacy rights of the child, the child's siblings, parents, guardians and caregivers and other persons That all parties did not agree to allowing the proceedings to be open The minor (if older than twelve) objects to hearing being open An open proceeding could cause specific material harm to a criminal investigation Other
The Cour	_IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the hearing be closed.
	admonishes all attendees that they are prohibited by order of the Court trom disclosing.
outside th	admonishes all attendees that they are prohibited by order of the Court from disclosing he hearing personally identifiable information about the child, the child's siblings, parents, or caregivers and any others mentioned in the hearing.
outside the guardians A person so submits to disclosure Those fou includes nor telefacs military ic	e hearing personally identifiable information about the child, the child's siblings, parents
outside the guardians A person submits to disclosure Those fou includes nor telefacs	e hearing personally identifiable information about the child, the child's siblings, parents, or caregivers and any others mentioned in the hearing. who knowingly and voluntarily remains in the courtroom after the admonishment the jurisdiction of the Court and shall abide by the orders of the Court prohibiting of that information. Failure to abide by the orders shall be deemed contempt of Court. In a contempt of Court could be fined or imprisoned. Personal identifiable information ame, address, date of birth, social security number, tribal enrollment number, telephone imile number, driver license number, place of employment, school identification or lentification or any other distinguishing characteristics that tend to identify a particular

Date: April 1, 2011 Case No. Page 3 5. <u>DOCUMENTS REVIEWED</u>: DES case report Admitted DES case plan filed pursuant to A.R.S. §8-824(G Other 6. PLACEMENT, VISITATION AND SERVICES: Parents are requesting / waiving a review of temporary custody. The Court has considered the protection of the child(ren) from abuse or neglect as its first priority. THE COURT FINDS that continued temporary custody is clearly necessary to prevent abuse or neglect. As to siblings of the child who was neglected/abused, the court finds/does not find reasonable grounds independently exist to believe that temporary custody of is clearly necessary. The child(ren) shall remain placed as set forth in the attached case plan summary. The child(ren) shall be placed with The Department is attempting to identify and assess placement with the child's grandparent or another member of the child's extended family, including a person who has a significant relationship with the child. The parents or guardians are ordered to provide the names, type of relationship, and all of the available information necessary to locate persons related to the child or who have a significant relationship with the child, including any absent parents. The parents or guardians are further ordered to inform the Department of Economic Security immediately if the parent or guardian becomes aware of new information related to the existence or location of a relative or person with a significant relationship to the child. (In ICWA cases) The Court has determined that the placement is in accordance with the placement preference in 25 U.S.C. § 1915, OR, that there is good cause to deviate from the preferences. Pursuant to A.R.S. §8-813(C), **THE COURT FINDS** that the visitation and services proposed in the attached case plan summary as amended are / are not necessary and appropriate. (If siblings are not placed together) THE COURT ORDERS that the Department shall arrange visitation

(If siblings are not placed together) **THE COURT ORDERS** that the Department shall arrange visitation or other ongoing contact between/among the siblings.

____ THE COURT FINDS that Department ____has ____has not made arrangements for the assembly of the medical records of the child(ren), a medical assessment of the child(ren), the implementation of referrals and the communication of recommendations and results, as provided by law.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the petitioner make reasonable efforts to implement the visitation and services outlined in the case plan summary.

Page 4 Date: April 1, 2011 Case No.

7.	<u>CI</u>	IILDREN'S SERVICES AND EDUCATION: The Court finds that the services proposed for the children in the preliminary protective
	hea	aring report are /are not necessary and appropriate and makes the following additional orders:
	ide	The Department is ordered to follow the recommendations and implement the services ntified in the 24-hour emergency response behavioral health assessment.
		Education Issues:
	1.	Is the child in school (ages 6 and up)? Is the child enrolled in an early intervention/preschool program (ages 0-5)?
	2.	Does the child have special needs?
	3.	How will educational responsibilities be divided to ensure that the child's educational needs are being met?
8.	<u>R</u>	EASONABLE EFFORTS FINDINGS:
	Pu	rsuant to 42 U.S.C. 672(a)(1), the Court finds that:
	and	The Department has made reasonable efforts to prevent the removal of the child(ren) to reunify the family, including:
Οŀ	 	
		_It was reasonable to make no efforts to maintain the child in the home or to reunify the nily based upon the following:
0.1	-	
OF		e Department failed to make reasonable efforts to prevent removal and reunify the family

Page 5	Date: April 1, 2011	Case No.	
(In ICWA cases):			
rehabilitative programs designed successful.	de prior to removal of the child	to provide remedial servic nily, and whether the effor	es and ts were
that continued custody of the chil emotional or physical damage to	d by the parent or Indian custodi		•
9. ADDITIONAL ORDERS:		L, Protective Orders, Sup	port)
10. <u>PLEA:</u>			
Mother enters anadmission	ndenialno contest to the	ePetitionAmen	ded Petition
Father enters anadmission	denialno contest to the	ePetitionAmend	led Petition
Other (name)		ente	ers an
admissiondenial	no contest to thePetition	Amended Petition.	oro un
11. <u>FUTURE HEARINGS:</u>			
Status/Adjudication Hearing	set on	at	a.m./p.m.
Facilitated Settlement Confe	rence set on	at	a.m./p.m.
Dependency Review set on		at	a.m./p.m.
		at	a.m./p.m.
		at	a.m./p.m.
		at	a.m./p.m.
IT IS ORDERED that the parenture hearings			
12. NOTICE:			
The Court provides the pare	ents with the Notice to Parent i	in a Dependency Action:	
Mother	Father	Other	

Counsel for Minor(s)
Child/Children:
The Court informs the foster parent, shelter care facility, receiving foster home, pre-adoptive parent, or a member of the child's extended family, with whom the Department has placed the child, of the right to be heard in any proceeding to be held with respect to the child.
A relative having been identified as a possible placement for the child and being present in court, the Court has notified the relative of their right to be heard in any proceeding with respect to the child.
13. <u>DISCLOSURE:</u>
The parties are ORDERED to comply with Rules 44 and 45, Rules of Procedure for the Juvenile Court. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the case managers disclose their reports as required by Rule 58 C, Rules of Procedure for the Juvenile Court, no later than fifteen (15) days prior to any scheduled Dependency Review. Objections to disclosed reports shall be made within five (5) days of receipt of the report.
Dated this 1 st day of April, 2011
Honorable Suzanna S. Cuneo
Distribution for: CaseworkerAttorney GeneralAttorney for MinorAttorney for MotherAttorney for FatherOther: FCRBGary SundellDependency CoordinatorDependency Staff

Revised 3-23-2010